

CHEMISTRY & SUSTAINABILITY

CHEM **SUS** CHEM

ENERGY & MATERIALS

Accepted Article

Title: Vinylation of Aryl Ether (Lignin β -O-4 Linkage) and Epoxides with Calcium Carbide via C-O Bond Cleavage

Authors: Yugen Zhang, Siew Ping Teong, and Jenny Lim

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: *ChemSusChem* 10.1002/cssc.201701153

Link to VoR: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201701153>

WILEY-VCH

www.chemsuschem.org

A Journal of



((Catch Phrase))

DOI: 10.1002/cssc.200((will be filled in by the editorial staff))


Vinylation of Aryl Ether (Lignin β -O-4 Linkage) and Epoxides with Calcium Carbide via C-O Bond Cleavage **

Siew Ping Teong, Jenny Lim and Yugen Zhang*

Abstract: Calcium carbide has been increasingly used as a sustainable, easy-to-handle, and low-cost feedstock in organic synthesis. Currently, methodologies of using calcium carbide as “solid acetylene” in synthesis are strictly limited to activation and reaction with X-H (X = C, N, O, S) bonds. Herein, a mild and transition metal-free protocol was developed for the vinylation of epoxides and aryl ether linkage (β -O-4 lignin model compound) with calcium carbide via C-O bond cleavage, forming valuable vinyl ether products. CaC_2 plays a vital role in the C-O bond activation and cleavage, and in providing acetylide source for the formation of vinylated products. These exciting results may provide new methodologies for organic synthesis and new insights towards lignin or biomass-related degradation to useful products.

Lignin is the world's second most abundant renewable polymer besides cellulose. Due to its aromatic-rich units, depolymerization of the renewable lignin to high value-added aromatic monomers is particularly attractive in sustainable chemistry.^[1] However, the complexity of the lignin structure also makes selective depolymerization into small aromatic fragments challenging.^[1-4] Therefore, most of the efforts have been devoted to using model compounds to mimic the representative linkages in lignin which will help to further understand the bond cleavage mechanism.^[5] β -O-4 linkage with aryl ether unit is the most abundant and stable substructure in lignin. Various methodologies have been developed for the cleavage of β -O-4 linkage which include base^[6] or acid^[7] mediated cleavage, oxidative cleavage^[8] and reductive cleavage^[9] and others.^[10] These methods either require harsh conditions and expensive reagents or suffer from low selectivity.

In recent years, the attractive calcium carbide has been increasingly used as a sustainable, easy-to-handle, and low-cost feedstock in organic synthesis.^[11-12] Although many excellent synthetic methodologies have been developed by using calcium carbide as the acetylene/acetylide source, the substrate scopes are still strictly limited to activation and reaction with X-H (X = C, N, O, S) bonds. As part of our group's effort^[12] in exploring calcium carbide utilization in organic synthesis, we then questioned if it can be used as the acetylide source to activate the much stable C-O bond (Scheme 1). Therefore, CaC_2 -mediated ring opening of strained epoxides for further chemical transformation was investigated. Herein, a mild and transition-metal free system with calcium carbide was demonstrated to yield highly value-added vinyl ethers^[13-14] from epoxides and lignin β -O-4 linkage model compounds via C-O bond cleavage.



Scheme 1. Methodologies for using calcium carbide as acetylide source in organic synthesis.


We previously reported the formation of vinyl ethers from alcohols with calcium carbide under the $\text{Cs}_2\text{CO}_3/\text{DMSO}$ system.^[1] While employing this superbasic system on the styrene oxide at 100 °C for 16 h, vinylated product **3a** was isolated via ring opening under this mild condition (Scheme 2). Various conditions were screened using styrene oxide as the model substrate (Table S1-2). Additives such as KBr, TBAB and 18-crown-6 were added in an attempt to improve the efficiency but to no avail (Table S2, entry 3 5). Similar to alcohol vinylation,^[15] small amount of water additive is important to this ring opening and vinylation reaction (Figure S1). Optimized product yield and selectivity of 85% was obtained with calcium carbide (2.5 equiv.) in DMSO in the presence of Cs_2CO_3 (0.5 equiv.) at 100 °C for 16 h when 6 vol% of water was added into the reaction system. A control reaction was carried out under anhydrous conditions where no desired product was observed after the reaction.

Interesting phenomena were observed from these results, albeit the limited success of expanding the substrate scope (Scheme 2). The majority of the substrates (**1a** – **1e**, **1h**) gave a similar vinyl ether product whereas substrate **1f** formed a di-vinyl ether product. Initially a diol intermediate reaction pathway was proposed (Scheme 3b(I)). This mechanism is supported by a control reaction where 72% of **3** was obtained when 1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol (**1i**) was used as the substrate under similar conditions (Scheme 2, Table S3). It was hypothesized that the small amount of water in the system may have led to ring opening of epoxide to diol, followed by rapid vinylation of the *in-situ* generated diol and β -elimination to form the enone product (Scheme 3b(I)). However, efforts to track the diol intermediate from the reaction of **1a** were not successful. When substrate **1a** was carried out at a shorter time, no diol intermediate was observed. In fact, the control reaction of **1a** without calcium carbide gave only trace amount of diol product (**1i**), leaving most of the starting material unreacted. In addition, 1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol (**1i**) is inert under the above conditions (without CaC_2) (Scheme 3a). These results indicate that the superbasic system may be not favourable for the hydrolysis reaction of **1a** and β -elimination of **1i**. Calcium carbide plays an important part in facilitating epoxide ring-opening. With that, a plausible mechanism was proposed as shown in Scheme 3b(II). Firstly, acetylide interacts with epoxide and activates the C-O bond.^[11c] Ring opening then occurs along with the new C-O bond formation to give vinyl ether intermediate (**A**). Following with this, transferring of α -carbon proton to vinyl anion and subsequent vinylation gave the final product α -vinyl ether. For cyclohexene oxide **1f**, however, diol intermediate was observed in the absence of CaC_2 , suggesting that it could undergo the diol pathway shown in Scheme S1.

[*] S. P. Teong, J. Lim and Dr. Y.G. Zhang.
Institute of Bioengineering and Nanotechnology, 31 Biopolis Way, The Nanos, Singapore 138669, Singapore.
E-mail: ygzhang@ibn.a-star.edu.sg


[**] This work was supported by the Institute of Bioengineering and Nanotechnology (Biomedical Research Council, Agency for Science, Technology and Research, Singapore).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://www.angewandte.org> or from the author.



Epoxide (1 mmol), CaC₂ (2.5 mmol), DMSO + 6 vol% H₂O (5 mL), Cs₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol), 100 °C, 16 h. NMR yield. ^a CaC₂ (5 mmol), 5 vol% H₂O, 140 °C. ^b CaC₂ (4 mmol), 4 vol% H₂O, 140 °C. ^c CaC₂ (4 mmol), 6 vol% H₂O.


Scheme 2. Vinylation of epoxides using calcium carbide



Scheme 3. (a) Control reactions in the system without CaC₂. (b) Proposed mechanism for vinylation of epoxides.

Unexpectedly, (vinyloxy)benzene was obtained from substrate **1g**, which may be due to cleavage of aryl-ether C-O bond. This led us to further explore the possibility of this methodology for lignin which consists of abundant β -O-4 aryl-ether linkages for cleavage. Promising results were shown in Scheme 4. Initial screenings with lignin model compound **4** representing the oxidized β -O-4 linkage showed that C-O bond cleavage occurred with 88% of (vinyloxy)benzene (**3g**) after optimization (Scheme 4a). It was noted that the other half of the cleavage product from **4** could be acetophenone which is not stable under the given conditions. This was confirmed by a control reaction using acetophenone as the starting material where full conversion of acetophenone was observed, but attempts to characterize these unknowns were unsuccessful. Addition of metal catalysts was not compatible with the CaC₂ superbases system (Table S4, entries 4 - 7). The cleavage of β -O-4 linkages in lignin often suggests a radical pathway,^[16]

however, the addition of radical initiator did not help to further improve the yield (Table S4, entries 9 - 12). For lignin model compound **5**, 82% of **3g** was obtained. (1-(vinyloxy)vinyl)benzene (**3a**) was characterized to be the second half of the cleavage product in 57% yield under the optimized condition (Scheme 4b). In addition to the product **3a** and **3g**, about 9% of by-product **5b** was also isolated. When different linkages of lignin model compounds **6** (α -O-4) and **7** (α -O-5) were tested in this system, starting materials were quantitatively recovered, even after extending the reaction time to a week (Scheme 4c). Simple alcohols were used as substrates under similar conditions that represent the α -O and β -O linkages (Scheme 4d - e). It was found that generally, α -O alcohols underwent *O*-vinylation instead of elimination and vice versa. Similar to the epoxide reactions, addition of CaC₂ is vital. In the absence of CaC₂, no reaction happened and more than 90% of model compound **5** or **9** was recovered from the reaction system which exclude the possibility of base catalyzed cleavage of β -O-4 ether bond via an epoxide intermediate.^[17]




Conditions: Substrate (1 mmol), CaC₂ (4 mmol), DMSO + 9 vol% H₂O (5 mL), Cs₂CO₃ (0.5 mmol), 160 °C, 16 h. NMR yield. ^a without CaC₂, **9** was recovered in 90%.

Scheme 4. Reaction of lignin model compounds with/without calcium carbide.

Delighted by this mild, selective and transition metal-free direct vinylation method to cleave the aryl-ether linkages and convert to valuable vinyl ethers in a simple process, we then went on to conduct kinetic studies with model compound **5**. According to Figure S2, all 3 products increased sharply in first 2 h and then remained relatively constant as time continued to extend to 24 h. Maximum yields for **3g** and **3a** are of 88% and 57%, respectively. At the beginning of the reaction, trace amount of acetophenone an phenol was detected. When the reaction was carried out in the absence of CaC₂, approximately 90% of the starting material was found, alongside with trace amount of **5b** and the isomerization product **5a** (Scheme 5). Based on these results, a proposed reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 5.

It was postulated that isomerization of lignin model compound **5** could have taken place to form **5a**, followed by elimination which gave product **5b**. This side reaction (pathway **I**) in scheme 5) is slow as only small amount of intermediate **5a** and by-product **5b** (< 10%) was observed in the systems with or without calcium carbide. The initial detection of acetophenone and phenol in GCMS analysis could have occurred from direct β -elimination of **5**, followed by vinylation to form **3g** (pathway **II**).^[11c, 15] *O*-vinylation of **5** could have taken place as a fast and dominant reaction in the system to give **5c** intermediate which was experimentally observed in GCMS during the reaction process.

Similar to the epoxide reactions, it was assumed that **5c** was then activated by acetylide and went through intermediate **C** (C-O cleavage and hydrogen transfer) to give **3a** and **3g**. It is clear that pathway (III) is the fast and dominant reaction, while pathway (I) and (II) coexist in the system as complimentary reaction paths.



Scheme 5. Proposed pathway for the cleavage and vinylation of lignin model compound.

In summary, we have developed a mild and transition metal-free protocol for the vinylation of epoxides and aryl-ether linkage (β -O-4 lignin model compound) via C-O bond cleavage, forming valuable vinyl ether products. CaC_2 plays a vital role in the C-O bond activation and cleavage, and in providing acetylide source for the formation of vinylated products. These thrilling results may provide new methodology for organic synthesis and new insights towards lignin or biomass-related degradation to useful products.

Experimental Section

General procedure for vinylation of epoxides: In a 8 mL pressure vial, Cs_2CO_3 (0.5 mmol) and CaC_2 (2.5 mmol) were added. The vial was then purged and refilled with argon thrice before DMSO + 6 vol% H_2O (5 mL) and epoxide (1 mmol) were added to the vial using a syringe. The reaction was stirred at 100 °C for 16 h, cooled to room temperature before it was diluted with H_2O (10 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding vinyl ethers.

General procedure for vinylation of lignin model compounds: In a 8 mL pressure vial, substrate (1 mmol), Cs_2CO_3 (0.5 mmol) and CaC_2 (4.0 mmol) were added. The vial was then purged and refilled with argon thrice before DMSO + 9 vol% H_2O (5 mL) was added to the vial using a syringe. The reaction was stirred at 160 °C for 16 h, cooled to room temperature before it was diluted with H_2O (10 mL) and extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel to afford the corresponding vinyl ethers.

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Published online on ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Keywords: C-O bond cleavage · β -O-4 linkage · calcium carbide · epoxide vinylation · lignin depolymerisation

[1] J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijninx, A. L. Jongerius and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Chem. Rev.*, **2010**, *110*, 3552.

- [2] J. E. Holladay, J. F. White, J. J. Bozell and D. Johnson, *Top Value-Added Chemicals from Biomass - Volume II—Results of Screening for Potential Candidates from Biorefinery Lignin*, PNNL-16983, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA, **2007**.
- [3] R. D. Perlack, L. L. Wright, A. F. Turhollow, R. L. Graham, B. J. Stokes and D. C. Erbach, *Biomass as Feedstock for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry: The Technical Feasibility of a Billion-Ton Annual Supply*, U.S. Dept. of Energy and U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, **2005**, pp. 1–59.
- [4] J. J. Bozell, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, **2014**, *353*, 229.
- [5] P. J. Deuss, K. Barta, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, **2016**, *306*, 510–532.
- [6] a) J. S. Shabtai, US5959167 A **1999**; b) J. E. Miller, L. Evans, A. E. Littlewolf, D. E. Trudell, *Fuel*, **1999**, *78*, 1363; c) V. M. Robert, V. Stein, T. Reiner, A. Lemonidou, X. Li, J. A. Lercher, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 5939; d) A. Toledano, L. Serrano, J. Labidi, *Fuel*, **2014**, *116*, 617; e) J. Long, Y. Xu, T. Wang, Z. Yuan, R. Shu, Q. Zhang, L. M. Appl. Energy **2015**, *141*, 70; f) H. Konnerth, J. Zhang, D. Ma, M. H. C. Precht, N. Yan, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **2015**, *123*, 155.
- [7] a) E. West, A. S. MacInnes, H. Hibbert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1943**, *6*: 1187; b) L. Mitchell, H. Hibbert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1944**, *66*, 602; c) F. Lundquist, *Appl. Polym. Symp.* **1976**, *28*, 1393; d) T. Yokoyama, Y. Matsumoto, *J. Wood Chem. Technol.* **2010**, *30*, 269; e) H. Ito, T. Imai, J. Lundquist, T. Yokoyama, Y. Matsumoto, *J. Wood Chem. Technol.* **2011**, *31*, 172; f) W. Y. Xu, S. J. Miller, P. K. Agrawal, C. W. Jones, *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, 667; g) M. R. Sturgeon, S. Kim, K. Lawrence R. S. Paton, S. C. Comely, M. Nimlos, T. D. Foust, G. T. Beckham, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, **2014**, *2*, 472; h) J. A. Onwudili, P. T. Williams, *Green Chem.*, **2014**, *16*, 4740; i) A. K. Deepa, P. L. Dhepe, *RSC Adv* **2014**, *4*, 12625; j) T. Yokoyama, *J. Wood Chem. Technol.* **2015**, *35*, 27.
- [8] a) J. W. Tucker, J. M. R. Narayanam, P. S. Shah, C. R. J. Stephenson, *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, *47*, 5040; b) H. R. Bjørsvik, L. Liguori, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2002**, *6*, 279; c) C. Crestini, P. Pro, V. Neri, F. Saladino, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 2569; d) C. Crestini, M. C. Caponi, D. S. Argyropoulos, R. Saladino, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2006**, *14*: 5292; e) R. Hage, A. Lienke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 206; f) K. Hanson, R. T. Baker, J. C. Gordon, B. L. Scott, A. D. Sutton, D. I. Thorn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 428; g) S. K. Hanson, R. T. Baker, J. C. Gordon, B. L. Scott, D. L. Thorn, *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 5611; h) S. Son, F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 3791; i) S. F. Collinson, W. Thielemans, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *254*, 1854; j) E. Sedai, C. Díaz-Urrutia, R. T. Baker, R. Wu, L. A. Silks, S. K. Hanson, *ACS Catal.* **2011**, *1*, 794; k) G. Zhang, B. L. Scott, R. Wu, L. A. Silks, S. K. Hanson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 7354; l) S. K. Hanson, R. Wu, L. A. Silks, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 3410; m) J. M. Hoover, J. I. Stevens, S. S. Stahl, *Nat. Protoc.* **2012**, *7*, 1161; n) A. Rahimi, A. Azarpira, H. Kim, J. Ralph, S. S. Stahl, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*: 6415; o) J. M. W. Chan, S. Bauer, H. Sorek, S. Sreekumar, K. Wang, F. D. Toste, *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 1369; p) P. C. A. Bruijninx, B. M. Weckhuysen, *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 1035; q) B. Sedai, R. T. Baker, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2014**, *356*, 3563; r) J. J. Bozell, A. Astner, D. Baker, F. Biannic, D. Cedenio, T. Elder, O. Hosseinaei, L. Delbeck, J. W. Kim, C. O'Lenick, T. Young, *Bioenergy Res.* **2014**, *7*, 856; s) Y. Gao, J. Zhang, X. Chen, D. Ma, N. Yan, *ChemPlusChem* **2014**, *79*, 825; t) P. J. Deuss, K. Barta, J. G. de Vries, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 1174; u) R. Ma, Y. Guo, X. Zhang, *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7*, 412; v) A. Rahimi, A. Ulbrich, J. Coon, S. S. Stahl, *Nature* **2014**, *515*, 249; w) C. S. Lancefield, O. Ojo, F. Tran, N. J. Westwood, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 258; x) F. Tran, C. S. Lancefield, P. C. J. Kamer, T. Lebl, N. J. Westwood, *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 244; y) R. Zhu, B. Wang, M. Cui, J. Deng, X. Li, Y. Ma and Y. Fu, *Green Chem.* **2016**, *18*, 2029.
- [9] a) V. Gevorgyan, J.-X. Liu, M. Rubin, S. Benson, Y. Yamamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 8919; b) V. Gevorgyan, M. Rubin, S. Benson, J.-X. Liu, Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 6179; c) S. Kobayashi, M. Sugiura, H. Kitagawa, W. W.-L. Lam, *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *102*, 2227; d) A. Dzudza, T. J. Marks, *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 1523; e) A. Dzudza, T. J. Marks, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 3403; f) C. J. Weiss, T. J. Marks, *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 6576; g) S. Antoniotti, S. Poulain-Martini, E. Du'nach, *Synlett* **2010**, *20*, 2973; h) M. P. Mu'noz, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2012**, *10*, 3584; i) A. C. Atesin, N. A. Ray, P. C. Stair, T. J. Marks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14682; j) F.-F. Wang, C.-L. Liu, W.-S. Dong, *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 2091; k) R.-J. van Putten, J. C. Van der Waal, E. de Jong, C. B. Rasrendra, H. J. Heeres, J. G. de Vries,

- Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 1499; l) A. Fedorov, A. A. Toutov, N. A. Swisher, R. H. Grubbs, *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 1640; m) E. Feghali, T. Cantat, *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 862; n) J. Zhang, Y. Chen, M. A. Brook, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2014**, *2*, 1983.
- [10] E. Feghali, G. Carrot, P. Thue'ry, C. Genre and T. Cantat, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, *8*, 2734.
- [11] a) K. S. Rodygin, G. Werner, F. A. Kucherov, V. P. Ananikov, *Chem. Asian J.*, **2016**, *11*, 965 and references therein; b) E. Rattanangkool, T. Vilaivan, M. Sukwattanasinitt, S. Wacharasindhu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2016**, *25*, 4347; c) R. Matake, Y. Adachi, H. Matsubara, *Green Chem.*, **2016**, *18*, 2614; d) K. S. Rodygina, V. P. Ananikov, *Green Chem.*, **2016**, *18*, 482; e) W. Zhang, H. Wu, Z. Liu, P. Zhong, L. Zhang, X. Huang and J. Cheng, *Chem. Commun.*, **2006**, 4826; f) Y. Jiang, C. Kuang and Q. Yang, *Synlett*, **2009**, 3163; g) Z. Gonda, K. Lörincz and Z. Novák, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 6275; h) Q. Yang, Y. Jiang and C. Kuang, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **95**, 448; i) A. Hosseini, D. Seidel, A. Miska and P. R. Schreiner, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2808; j) R. Matake, Y. Niwa and H. Matsubara, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2354; k) G. Werner, K. S. Rodygin, A. A. Kostin, E. G. Gordeev, A. S. Kashin and V. P. Ananikov, *Green Chem.*, **2017**, DOI: 10.1039/c7gc00724h.
- [12] a) Z. Lin, D. Yu, Y. N. Sum, Y. Zhang, *ChemSusChem*, **2012**, *5*, 625; b) Y. N. Sum, D. Yu, Y. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, **2013**, *15*, 2718; c) D. Yu, Y. N. Sum, A. C. C. Chng, M. P. Chin, Y. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2013**, *52*, 5125; d) S. P. Teong, D. Yu, Y. N. Sum, Y. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, **2016**, *18*, 3499.
- [13] a) P. G. M. Wuts, in: *Greene's Protective Groups in Organic Synthesis*, John Wiley & Sons, 5th ed. **2014**, p. 472; b) Y. L. Chen, K. G. Hedberg, K. J. Guarino, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1067; c) H. A. Abd El-Nabi, *Tetrahedron*, **1997**, *53*, 1813; d) P. E. Maligres, M. M. Waters, J. Lee, R. A. Reamer, D. Askin, M. S. Ashwood, M. Cameron, *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 1091; e) O. Fujimura, G. C. Fu, R. H. Grubbs, *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 4029.
- [14] a) G. Allen, Ed.; *Comprehensive Polymer Science*, Pergamon Press: New York, **1989**, Vol. 3; b) H. F. Mark, N. Bikales, C. G. Overberger, G. Menges, Eds.; *Encyclopaedia of Polymer Science and Engineering*, 2nd ed. John Wiley: New York, **1989**, Vol. 16; c) K. Kojima, M. Sawamoto, T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules* **1989**, *22*, 1552; d) C. J. Serman, Y. Xu, P. C. Painter, M. M. Coleman, *Polymer* **1991**, *32*, 516; e) R. Itaya, WO Patent Appl. no. 2012020688, **2012**.
- [15] S. P. Teong, A. Y. H. Chua, S. Deng, X. Li and Y. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, **2017**, *19*, 1659.
- [16] Y. S. Choi, R. Singh, J. Zhang, G. Balasubramanian, M. R. Sturgeon, R. Katahira, G. Chupka, G. T. Beckham, B. H. Shanks, *Green Chem* **2016**, *18*, 1762.
- [17] F. S. Chakar, A. J. Ragauskas, *Industrial Crops and Products* **2004**, *21*, 131–141.

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)


Layout 2:

((Catch Phrase))

Siew Ping Teong, Jenny Lim and Yugen Zhang*

_____ Page – Page

Vinylation of Aryl Ether (Lignin β -O-4 Linkage) and Epoxides with Calcium Carbide via C-O Bond Cleavage



A mild and transition metal-free protocol for the vinylation of epoxides and aryl ether linkage (β -O-4 lignin model compound) with calcium carbide via C-O bond cleavage, forming valuable vinyl ether products.

Accepted Manuscript