CO-SPARSITY REGULARIZED DEEP HASHING FOR IMAGE INSTANCE RETRIEVAL

Jie Lin*1, Olivier Morère*1,2, Vijay Chandrasekhar1, Antoine Veillard2, Hanlin Goh1

I2R1, UPMC2

ABSTRACT

In this work, we tackle the problem of image instance retrieval with binary descriptors hashed from high-dimensional image representations. We present three main contributions: First, we propose Co-sparsity Regularized Hashing (CRH) to explicitly optimize the distribution of generated binary hash codes, which is formulated by adding a co-sparsity regularization term into the Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBM) based hashing model. CRH is capable of balancing the variance of hash codes per image as well as the variance of each hash bit across images, resulting in maximum discriminability of hash codes that can effectively distinguish images at very low rates (down to 64 bits). Second, we extend the CRH into deep network structure by stacking multiple co-sparsity constrained RBMs, leading to further performance improvement. Finally, through a rigorous evaluation, we show that our model outperforms state-of-the-art at low rates (from 64 to 256 bits) across various datasets, regardless of the type of image representations used.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Image instance retrieval regards the discovery of images from a database sharing same object/scene as the one depicted in query image. Most state-of-the-art image instance retrieval systems follow a two-stage pipeline: (1) retrieve a subset of candidate images from the database with high recall by comparing global descriptors of images, such as Fisher Vectors (FV) [1] and the recently proposed Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNN) based descriptors like AlexNet [2] and OxfordNet [3], and (2) re-rank the candidate images with Geometric Consistency Check [4] for finding relevant database images with high precision. For the first stage, descriptor compression is usually applied to transform the high-dimensional global descriptors (4K to 64K) into compact codes, enabling fast matching and light storage on large scale database.

Descriptor compression techniques can be roughly grouped into two categories: (1) hashing, and (2) quantization. The goal of hashing is to compress raw descriptor into short binary vector with either data-independent hash like Locality Sensitive Hashing (LSH) [5] or data-dependent hash like Iterative Quantization (ITQ) [6] and Bilinear Projection Binary Codes (BPBC) [7]. For instance, ITQ first performs Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to reduce dimensionality of raw descriptor, then rotates the transformed PCA directions, finally binarizes each dimension according to its sign. The rotation operation is key to ITQ, as it balances the variance of PCA directions to ensure that each dimension carries comparable information before binarization.

Besides hashing, quantization based methods such as Product Quantization (PQ) [8] are alternative way for descriptor compression, where the raw descriptor is divided into smaller blocks and vector quantization is performed on each block. While this produces highly small descriptors composed of sub-quantizer indices, the final feature representation is non-binary and cannot be compared with ultra-fast Hamming distance computation.

In this work, we propose an unsupervised hashing scheme, termed Co-sparsity Regularized Deep Hashing (CRDH), for learning binary hash codes of high-dimensional descriptors. Our main contributions are three-fold:

- We propose Co-sparsity Regularized Hashing (CRH) to maximize the discriminability of hash codes. Specifically, CRH is formulated by adding a co-sparsity regularization term into the Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBM) based hashing model, where each hash bit corresponds to a neural unit in latent layer. The generation of hash codes is directly optimized by co-sparsity constraints: (1) for a given image, half of the latent units are active (equal to 1) and (2) for each latent unit, there are half of the images on which it is fired (see Figure 1). Unlike existing hashing approaches, the co-sparsity constraints of CRH can balance both the variance of hash codes per image (i.e., with uniform sparsity 0.5) and the variance of each hash bit across images. This results in effective coding especially at extremely low rates (e.g., 64 bits) that hash codes spread in the limited binary space.
- We extend the CRH into deep network structure (i.e., CRDH) by stacking multiple RBMs with co-sparsity reg-
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ularization within each latent layer. We experimentally find a tradeoff between network depth and co-sparsity constraint for further performance improvement.

- Through a thorough empirical evaluation on popular benchmark datasets with different image representations (FV and DCNN), we show that CRDH outperforms state-of-the-art unsupervised descriptor compression methods at low rates (e.g., 64 to 256 bits).

2. CO-SPARSITY REGULARIZED DEEP HASHING

Towards optimal hash codes, the proposed CRDH is built up with deep network structure due to the remarkable success of deep learning in recent years. First, we briefly describe the Restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM), which is the base building block of CRDH. Then, we introduce how to add the co-sparsity regularisation term into the RBM. Finally, we present the CRDH by stacking multiple co-sparsity constrained RBMs.

**Hashing with RBM.** RBM is a bipartite Markov random field with the input layer \( z^{l-1} \in \mathbb{R}^l \) connected to a latent layer \( z^l \in \mathbb{R}^d \) via a set of undirected weights \( W^l \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times l} \). The input units \( z^{l-1}_i \) and latent units \( z^l_j \) are also parameterised by their corresponding biases \( c^{l-1}_i \) and \( b^l_j \), respectively. The input layer takes a high-dimensional image descriptor as input. Previous works [1, 9] have shown that binarization of FV and DCNN features results in negligible loss in performance. For this work, binarization is done by component-wise mean thresholding for the inputs. We use binary latent units with sigmoid activation function, because binary output bits are desired for our hash.

The units within a layer are conditionally independent pairwise. Therefore, the activation probabilities of one layer can be sampled by fixing the states of the other layer, and using distributions given by logistic functions for binary RBM:

\[
P(z^l_j | z^{l-1}) = 1/(1 + \exp(-w^l_j z^{l-1} - b^l_j)), \quad (1)
\]

\[
P(z^{l-1}_i | z^l) = 1/(1 + \exp(-w_i^T z^l - c^{l-1}_i)). \quad (2)
\]

As a result, alternating Gibbs sampling can be performed between the two layers. The sampled states are used to update the parameters \( \{W^l, b^l, c^{l-1}\} \) through minibatch gradient descent using the contrastive divergence algorithm [10] to approximate the maximum likelihood of the input distribution.

Given a trained RBM with fixed parameters and an input vector, a hash can be generated through a feedforward projection and thresholding Equation (1) at 0.5.

\[
z^l_j = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } P(z^l_j | z^{l-1}) > 0.5 \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases} \quad (3)
\]

**Co-sparsity Regularized RBM.** The latent layer in RBM is trained to model the data distribution of the previous layer. It is, however, important for the RBM to project the data in a latent subspace that is suitable for hashing. One way to encourage the learning of suitable representations is to perform regularization, such as sparsity [11, 12, 13]. For classification, representations are encouraged to be very sparse to improve separability. For hashing, however, it is desirable to encourage the representation to make efficient use of the limited latent subspace.

For a given \( l \) and a minibatch of input instances \( z^{l-1}_\alpha \), we add a co-sparsity regularization term to the RBM optimization problem to encourage (a) half the latent units (hash codes) to be active for a given image, and (b) each hash bit to be equiprobable across images:

\[
\arg \min_{\{W^l, b^l, c^{l-1}\}} \sum_{\alpha} \log \left( \sum_{z^l_\alpha \in F_\alpha} P(z^{l-1}_\alpha, z^l_\alpha) + \lambda h(E_\alpha) \right), \quad (4)
\]

where \( E_\alpha \) is the minibatch of sampled latent units for layer \( l \) and \( \lambda \) is the regularization constant.

We adapt the fine-grained regularization proposed in [13] to suit our hashing problem. For each instance \( z^l_\alpha \), the regularisation term for binary units penalises each unit \( z^l_\alpha \) with the cross entropy loss with respect to a target activation \( t^l_\alpha \) based on a predefined distribution,

\[
h(E_\alpha) = -\sum_{z^l_\alpha \in F_\alpha} t^l_\alpha \log z^l_\alpha + (1 - t^l_\alpha) \log(1 - z^l_\alpha). \quad (5)
\]

Unlike [13], we choose the \( t^l_\alpha \) such that each \( t^l_\alpha \) for fixed \( \alpha \) and each \( t^l_\alpha \) for fixed \( j \) is distributed according to \( U(0, 1) \). The uniform distribution is suitable for hashing high-dimensional vectors because the regularizer encourages each latent unit to be active with a mean of 0.5, while avoiding activation saturation. The result is a space-filling effect in the latent subspace, where data is efficiently represented.
After RBM training, we further enforce space utilization by substituting the learned RBM bias by the data set mean \( \langle w_j z^{l-1} \rangle \) of the linear projection preceding the logistic. Equation (3) is modified such that the final hash is centered around 0.5:

\[
z^l_j = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } w_j z^{l-1} - \langle w_j z^{l-1} \rangle > 0 \\
0, & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Staked Co-sparsity Regularized RBMs. The set of raw image representations lie in a complex manifold in a very high-dimensional feature space. Deeper networks have the potential to discover more complex nonlinear hash functions and improve image instance retrieval performance. Following [14], we stack multiple RBMs by training one layer at a time to create multi-layer deep networks.

Each layer models the activation distribution of the previous layer and captures higher-order correlations between those neurons (units). For hashing problem, we are interested in low bitrate operating points of 64, 256 and 1024 bits. We progressively decrease the dimension of latent layers by a factor of 2\(^n\) per layer, where \( n \) is a tuneable parameter. For our final models, \( n \) is empirically selected for each layer resulting in variable network depth.

3. EXPERIMENTS

3.1. Datasets

We use 2 widely used benchmark datasets for small scale experiments: INRIA Holidays (500 queries, 991 database images) [15], University of Kentucky Benchmark (UKbench) (10200 queries, 10200 database images) [16]. To evaluate large-scale retrieval, we present results on Holidays and UKbench data sets, combining with the 1-million distractor image dataset MIRFLICKR [17] respectively.

Most schemes, including our proposed scheme, require a training step. We train on a random 150K images subset of the ImageNet training set, which consists of 1.2 million images from 1000 different categories [18]. This training set is independent from the query and database images described above.

3.2. Experimental Setup

Image Descriptors. We start with global descriptor representations based on both Fisher Vectors (FV) and Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNN). For the FV, we extract SIFT [19] descriptors obtained from Difference-of-Gaussian (DoG) detector. PCA is adopted to reduce dimension of SIFT descriptor from 128 to 64, which has shown to improve performance [8]. We use a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM) with 128 centroids, resulting in 8192-dimensional FV with the first order statistics. Finally, the FV is power normalized, followed by \( L_2 \)-normalization.

DCN features are extracted using the open-source software Caffe [20] with AlexNet reference model proposed by Alex Krizhevsky et al. for 2012 ImageNet classification task [2]. We find that layer fc6 (before softmax) performs the best for image retrieval, similarly to results recently reported in [21]. We refer to this 4096-dimensional fc6 as the DCNN feature from here-on.

Baselines. We compare our approach with state-of-the-art compression algorithms on both FV and DCNN features. (1) LSH [5]. LSH is performed by random unit-norm projections of the raw descriptors, followed by signed binarization. (2) ITQ [6]. ITQ applies signed binarization after two transform- 

3.3. Results

Co-sparsity Regularization. In Figure 2(a), we show the effect of applying co-sparsity regularization on a single layer RBM 812-b, for \( b = 64, 256, 1024 \). The Holidays data set and FV are chosen. CRH improves performance significantly, \( \sim 10\% \) absolute Recall @ \( R = 10 \) at low-rate point \( b = 64 \). The performance gap increases as rate decreases. This is intuitive as the regularization pushes the network towards keeping half the bits alive and equiprobable (across hashes), with its effect being more pronounced at lower rates.

Depth. In Figure 2(b), we plot Recall @ \( R = 10 \) for the Holidays data set and FV features, as depth is increased for a given rate point \( b \). For \( b = 1024 \), we consider configurations 8192-1024, 8192-4096-1024, and 8192-4096-2048- 

1024 corresponding to depth 1, 2, 3 respectively. For rate points \( b = 64 \) and 256, similar configurations of varying depth are chosen. We observe that, with no co-sparsity regularization, recall improves as depth is increased for \( b = 256 \) and \( b = 64 \), with optimal depth of 3 and 4 respectively, be-
Beyond which performance drops. At higher rates of $b = 1024$ and beyond, increasing depth does not improve as performance saturates.

For hashing, depth parameter sweet spot varies with compression rate points. Similar trends are obtained for Recall @ $R = 100$. Importantly, we observe that with the proposed regularization, we can achieve the same performance with lower depth at each rate point. This is critical, as lower the depth, the faster the hash generation, and lower the memory requirements.

Comparison to state-of-the-art. The small scale retrieval results are shown in Figure 3. One can see that the proposed CRDH outperforms state-of-the-art at most rates on all data sets, for both DCNN and FV features. There is 2.4% improvement in absolute Recall @ $R = 100$ at $b = 64$ bits compared to the second performing scheme ITQ on Holidays for FV. Consistent trends are also obtained for the large-scale retrieval results in Figure 4.

The performance ordering of other schemes depends on the bitrate and type of feature, while CRDH is consistent across data sets. Compared to ITQ scheme which applies a single PCA transform, each output bit for CRDH is generated by a series of projections. The PQ scheme performs poorly at the low rates in consideration, as large blocks of the global descriptor are quantized with a small number of centroids, as previously observed in [7]. LSH performs poorly at low rates, but catches up given enough bits.

Comparing FV-CRDH and DCNN-CRDH. At a given rate point, DCNN-CRDH outperforms FV-CRDH for all data sets, as shown in Figure 3. At low rates, DCNN-CRDH improves performance by more than 10% on the small data sets. The reason may be DCNN features are able to capture more complex low level features and have a lower starting dimensionality compared to FV.

Comparison to Uncompressed Descriptors. We compare the results of CRDH to the uncompressed descriptor in Figure 3. At 256 bits for DCNN, we only observe a marginal drop (a few%) compared to the uncompressed descriptor for retrieval on all data sets. For FV, we can match the performance of the uncompressed descriptor with 1024 bits for Holidays and UKbench. The instance retrieval hashing problem becomes increasingly difficult as we move towards a 64-bit hash, with performance dropping steeply.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A perfect image hashing scheme would convert a high-dimensional descriptor into a low-dimensional bit representation without losing retrieval performance. We believe that deep hashing, which focuses on achieving complex hash functions with deep learning, is a significant step in this direction. Our method is focused on a deep network which efficiently utilizes the binary subspace through co-sparsity regularization. Through a rigorous evaluation process, we show that our model performs well across various data sets, regardless of the type of image descriptors used.
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